immediate use the State Government may provide basic amenities after containing 'no objection' from concerned Central Government Departments, but the land owning Departments would retain their rights to ask for clearance of the lands in future. The land required for immediate use were to be got vacated against payment of certain charges for resettlement. Within these broad guidelines, each individual case is to be decided by the land-owning Department after necessary consultation with the State Government.

Rohini Scheme

2407. DR. H. P. SHARMA: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the number of persons who got themselves registered with DDA for the allotment of land under Low, Middle and Higher Income Groups in Rohini Scheme in Delhi;

(b) the number of persons out of them who have since been allotted the plots in each category;

(c) the number of persons who are yet to be allotted the plots in each category;

(d) what are the reasons for delay in allotments; and

(e) by when the plots are proposed to be allotted to all the applicants registered under the scheme, catgory-wise?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI DALBIR SINGH): (a) to (c)

Category	No. of Registered Persons	Plots allotted	Plot to be allotted
EWS	18390	10522	7868
LIG	38105	10157	27948
MIG	25889	4766	21123

No Registration was made for Higher Income Group.

(d) (i) The allotment of land to the Co-operative Societies and construction of mass housing was given more emphasis and substantial share of the land was used for this purpose.

(ii) Non-availability of services.

(e) All efforts are being made to clear the backlog of registered applicants.

Grant-in-aid to Maharashtra State

2408. SHRI JAGESH DESAI: Will the Minister of WELFARE be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that a sum of Rupees 202.97 lakhs was sanctioned to State Government of Maharashtra as grant-in-aid under the first proviso of Article 275(i) of the Constitution during the year 1985-86 in the month of March, 1986;

(b) if so, what are the reasons for sanctioning this amount at the fag end of the financial year;

(c) whether it is also a fact that the State Government could not utilize the full amount and requested the Central Government to give permission to utilise the balance unspent amount in the current year; and

(d) if so, whether the permission has been given; if not, what are the reasons therefor?

THE DEPUTY MINISTER IN THE MINISTRY OF WELFARE (SHRI GIRIDHAR GOMANGO): (a) and (b) No, Sir. Government of Maharashtra was first sanctioned Rs. 65.24 lakhs in November, 1985 for three specific schemes submitted by them. Actual releases were made by the Ministry of Finance in two instalments on 18-12-1985 and 10-3-1986. Specific schemes from all the States did not exhaust

the entire budgeted amount of Rs. 20 crores under first proviso to Article 275(1). The balance was thereupon released to the States on prorata basis in March, 1986, of which the share of Maharashtra was Rs. 137.73 lakhs.

(c) and (d) Out of the first sanctioned amount of Rs. 65.24 lakhs, the State could utilise only Rs. 58 lakhs. The request for extension for the balance amount is being processed for forwarding to the Ministry of Finance.

Illegal construction in MIG, D.D.A. flats in Ashok Vihar

2409. SHRI P. N. SUKUL: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to the fact that common land behind the M.I.G., D.D.A. Flats in Ashok Vihar Phase-I, New Delhi which was earlier earmarked for construction of garages has been grabbed by the ground floor owners who have made illegal construction thereon;

(b) whether it is also a fact that several residents of the IInd floor in the same area have made illegal construction on the roofs of flats causing safety hazards to the buildings; and

(c) if so, whether any steps have been taken by Government to demolish such unauthorised constructions and also to utilise the land for common amenities to the residents?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI DALBIR SINGH): (a) and (b) Yes, Sir.

(c) Action under the terms of the allotment has been initiated in all these cases. These pieces of land have been earmarked developed for use as parks.

MIG flats in Janakpuri, Delhi

2410. SHRI SUBAS MOHANTY: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that allottees of first floor in the double storeyed M.I.G. Flats situated in blocks—C2 and C4 in Janakpuri, New Delhi are facing difficulties, as balconies provided therein are only three feet wide; and

(b) if so, whether Government propose to allow these allottees to extend these balconies from 3 feet to 6 feet with the consent of the allottees of the ground floor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI DALBIR SINGH): (a) and (b) According to Delhi Development Athority there have been no complaints with them in the matter.

DDA is not in favour of allowing extension in existing houses as a matter of policy and structural stability. Besides, similar requests from other residential areas have also not been agreed to.

Atrocities on Harijans in Jari village in Orissa

2411. SHRI N. E. BALARAM: Will the Minister of WELFARE be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that incidence of atrocities on Harijans has increased in different parts of the country; and

(b) what were the reasons for the recent attack on Harijans in Jari Village in Orissa and what steps were taken by Government against the people who attacked about 800 Harijan families?

THE DEPUTY MINISTER IN THE MINISTRY OF WELFARE (SHRI GIRIDHAR GOMANGO): (a) No, Sir.

(b) The immediate reason for the attack on the Scheduled Castes in Jari village was the culmination of a tension over the alleged kidnapping of a Caste Hindu girl by a Scheduled Caste youth. The genesis of the problem lies in this particular case more in social distances between Scheduled Castes and Caste Hindus than any economic reasons. In this case, 47 persons have been arrested. Out of these 47 persons, 28 are caste Hindus. A case has been registered under various Sections of IPC. The State Government also ordered a joint enquiry by Divisional Commissioner and DIG of police.